# <u>Appendix</u>

<u>Conte</u>	<u>nts</u>	
Part 1	: References	112 - 113
Part 2	: Automatic Analysers	114
	Table A1: Details of the air quality monitoring sites operated by No Lincolnshire Council.	orth 114
Part 3	: QA/QC	115 - 116
Part 4	: Nitrogen Dioxide	117 - 123
	Figure A2: The precision of SY Labs NO <sub>2</sub> diffusion tubes.	117
	Figure A3: The bias sheet for the co-location study at Scunthorpe 2005.	Town in <b>119</b>
	Figure A4: The bias sheet for the co-location study at Scunthorpe using 2006 ratified data.	Town, <b>119</b>
	Figure A5: The bias sheet for the co-location study at Scunthorpe 2007.	Town, <b>120</b>
	Figure A6: The bias sheet for the co-location study at Kingsway He 2007.	ouse for <b>120</b>
	Figure A7: The bias sheet for the co-location study at Gallagher R 2007.	etail Park <b>121</b>
	Table A8: Changeover dates for nitrogen dioxide tubes in 2007.	122
	Figure A9: DMRB inputs for M180 run.	123
	Figure A10: DMRB outputs for M180 run.	123
Part 5	: New Diffusion Tube Locations	124 - 130
	Figure A11: The location of the second diffusion tube at the junctic Road and the A18.	on of Brigg <b>124</b>
	Figure A12: The location of the second diffusion tube (LP695) on H Road.	Humber <b>125</b>
	Figure A13: The new location of the Scotter Road diffusion tube. Figure A14: The location of the Ashby Road / Burringham Road di tube.	<b>126</b> ffusion <b>127</b>
	Figure A15: The location of the Chancel Road / Bottesford Lane di tube.	ffusion 128
	Figure A16: The location of the Ashby High Street / Grange Lane S diffusion tube.	South <b>129</b>
	Figure A17: The location of the South Ferriby diffusion tube.	130
Part 6	: PM <sub>10</sub>	
	Figure A18: The location of the Appleby Village monitoring station.	131
	Figure A19: The location of the Broughton monitoring station.	132
	Figure A20: The locations of the Santon monitoring stations.	133
	Table A21: The number of $PM_{10}$ exceedances, annual mean, 90.4 percentile, highest daily concentration and data capture at East Co Lane.	th ommon <b>134</b>

	Table A22: The number of $PM_{10}$ exceedances, annual mean, 90.41 percentile, highest daily concentration and data capture at Killinghover.	h olme. <b>134</b>
	Table A23: The number of $PM_{10}$ exceedances, annual mean, 90.41 percentile, highest daily concentration and data capture at Lincoln	h Gardens. <b>134</b>
	Table A24: The number of $PM_{10}$ exceedances, annual mean, 90.41 percentile, highest daily concentration and data capture at Scunthors Scunthorpe Town.	h orpe and <b>134</b>
	Figure A25: PM <sub>10</sub> Pollution Rose for 2006.	135
	Figure A 26: PM <sub>10</sub> Pollution Rose for 2007.	136
Part 7:	New Developments	
	Table A27: Mineral sites that have submitted planning applications have yet to be determined. Included to allow for easy reference for	, which 2009 USA <b>139</b>
	Table A28: PPC processes regulated by North Lincolnshire Counc	il. <b>140-141</b>
	Table A29: Petrol Stations regulated by North Lincolnshire Council	.142
	Table A30: Pig and poultry operations (regulated under PPC) in No Lincolnshire.	orth <b>143</b>
	Table A31: Roads within North Lincolnshire with an AADT>10000, percentage change from 2006 to 2008 is also shown.	the 144

Table A32: New roads in North Lincolnshire with an AADT >10000.

145

Part 9: Summary of Draft Further Assessment and Action Plan Consultation 146 - 160

Part 10: Responses to the 2007 Detailed Assessment Consultation 161 - 165

**Contact Details** 

166

### Part 1: References

- 1. Air Quality Archive, http://www.airquailty.co.uk.
- 2. The Air Quality Regulations 2007, Statutory Instruments, 2007, No. 64.
- 3. Trends in primary Nitrogen Dioxide in the UK, Air Quality Expert Group for Defra, December 2007.
- LAQM Review and Assessment Helpdesk, http://www.uwe.ac.uk/aqm/review/mfaqgen.html#G1
- UK Government. Defra news release, http://www.defra.gov.uk/news/2008/080124a.htm
- UK Equivalence Programme for Monitoring of Particulate Matter conducted by Bureau Veritas for Defra, available at: http://www.airquality.co.uk/archive/reports/cat05/0606130952\_UKPME quivalence.pdf
- LAQM Review and Assessment Helpdesk, http://www.uwe.ac.uk/aqm/review/mfaqpm.html#PM8
- 8. LAQN news release, Defra funded development of the volatile correction model:

http://www.londonair.org.uk/london/asp/news.asp?NewsId=NewsDEFR Awebsite

- 9. Personal communication, Steven Danter of ConocoPhillips Humber refinery.
- 10. Personal communication, David Butterfield of NPL.
- 11. Defra Air Quality Strategy, July 2007, http://www.defra.gov.uk/environment/airquality/strategy/index.htm
- 12. LAQM Review and Assessment Helpdesk, http://www.uwe.ac.uk/aqm/review/mguidance\_05.html#USA2006\_poultry
- 13. Hull and Goole Port Health Authority:

http://www.hullandgoolepha.gov.uk/pollution\_control.phtml

- 14. Defra EPP website: http://www.defra.gov.uk/environment/epp/index.htm
- 15. Personal communication, Laurence Dettman of Hull and Goole Port Health Authority.
- 16. Personal communication, Clare Wilson of Robin Hood Airport.
- 17. Personal communication, Jane Peacock of Humberside Airport.

18. Personal communication, Paul Whitby, Corus.

19. LAQM Review and Assessment Helpdesk,

http://www.uwe.ac.uk/aqm/review/Tube\_Precision\_2007(Mar\_08).pdf.

20. LAQM Review and Assessment Helpdesk,

www.uwe.ac.uk/aqm/review/diffusiontube310308.xls.

21. Personal communication, John Branson, AEA Energy and Environment.

All websites were accessible in April 2008.

Part 2:	Automatic	Analy	<u>ysers</u>

Data Ratified. *	Between April 2006 and end June 2007.	To end June 2007.	Between April 2006 and end June 2007.	Between April 2006 and end June 2007.	To end of June 2007.	To end of December 2007.	To end June 2007.	Between April 2006 and end June 2007.	All data.	Between April 2006 and end June 2007.	To end of June 2007.	All data.	PM <sub>10</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> to end of September 2007. NO <sub>2</sub> to end of June 2007.	
Site Type	Urban Indsutrial	Urban Background	Urban Background	Urban Indsutrial	Roadside	Urban Indsutrial	Urban Indsutrial	Roadside	Urban Indsutrial	Urban Background	Urban Indsutrial	Urban Indsutrial	Urban Indsutrial	
Type of machine	R&P TEOM	R&P TEOM	R&P TEOM	R&P TEOM	Airpointer	Partisol 2025	R&P TEOM	Airpointer	Partisol 2025	R&P TEOM	Digitel (PAHs), TEOM (PM <sub>10</sub> ), Ambirak (NO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>2</sub> ).	Andersen (PAH), TEOM, ET 100A (SO <sub>2</sub> )	Monitor Labs 9841B (NO <sub>2</sub> ), Anderson and Digitel (PAH), TEOM and Partisol (PM <sub>10</sub> ), ET 100A (SO <sub>2</sub> ).	
Pollutants measured	01Mq	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	NO2	PM10	NO <sub>2</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub>	NO2	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub> , PAHs, PM <sub>10</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub>	PAHs, PM <sub>10</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub> , PAHs, PM <sub>10</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub>	
Finish Date	-		-		ı	ı		·	10th August 2006	ı		18th March 2004		
Start Date	1st July 2005	8th February 2007	10th March 2006	3rd March 2005	24th January 2006	5th January 2007	6th March 2003	22nd August 2005	23rd February 2005	1st December 2004	1st October 2005	15th December 2007	6th June 2004 (Digitel PAH, December 2006)	
OS Grid Reference	SE 8927311446	SE 9507914767	SE 9604809411	SE 9066209791	SE 8671411110	SE 9327112089	TA 1488016120	SE 8914309886	SE 9175508242	SE 8946508938	SE 9294711937	SE 9055910681	SE 9031510830	
Site Name	Allanby Street	Appleby Village	Broughton	East Common Lane	Gallagher Retail Park	High Santon	Killingholme	Kingsway House	Lakeside	Lincoln Gardens	Low Santon	Scunthorpe	Scunthorpe Town	

Table A1: Details of the air quality monitoring sites operated by North Lincolnshire Council.

Notes: If data prior to April 2006 has not been ratified, then it will remain provisional as the sites were not members of the calibration club. If data after April 2006 has not been ratified then it will be ratified in due course.

Appleby Village and Broughton are classified as urban background, although not in Scunthorpe itself, they are considered to provide a suitable background measure for the area.

Killingholme is not strictly an urban industrial site, given its location in a village. However, it is neither suitable for suburban or rural

## Part 3: QA/QC

In order to minimise measurement uncertainty it is important to apply stringent quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) procedures to monitoring programmes. North Lincolnshire Council therefore subscribe to a service known as the 'Calibration Club' operated by AEA Energy and Environment.

AEA Energy and Environment carry out data management services on behalf of the Council using the same procedures as those applied to the UK Government's national network monitoring stations (i.e. The AURN). This service incorporates:

- Daily data collection,
- Screening and provisional scaling of data,
- Full ratification of data sets,
- Independent equipment audits at six-monthly intervals,
- An audit report detailing any required data management actions.

The TEOMs receive fortnightly site visits by Council staff, which on an alternating basis entail:

- i.) F1 pressed only; as a result the machine performs internal calibrations.
- ii.) F1 pressed, a filter change, this is done earlier if the load on the filter reaches 80%, and cleaning of TEOM head.

The Airpointers now receive fortnightly calibrations, which on an alternating basis entail:

- i.) Zero only calibration done remotely.
- ii.) Zero and span calibrations, filter change.

The  $NO_x$  and  $SO_2$  analysers at Low Santon, Killingholme and Scunthorpe Town received fortnightly zero and span calibrations and filter change.

The contract for the supply and weighing of Partisol filters is currently let to AEA Energy and Environment. Cassettes of fourteen Emfab filters are

exchanged on a fortnightly basis, AEA dial into the Partisol on a daily basis to download appropriate data and check its operation.

The filters are conditioned in-line with BS EN 12341:1999 and weighing procedures utilise UKAS calibrated precision balances. AEA are also independently certified to ISO 9001 and ISO14001 for their quality and environmental management systems. With regards to balance drift the data being produced in line with NPL recommendations. Results are typically received three weeks after the cassette has been received by AEA, thus upto five weeks after exposure.

Signal Ambitech are contracted on a 48-hour emergency callout basis to deal with any faults with the Killingholme, Low Santon or Scunthorpe Town analysers, they also conduct six monthly services. Airmonitors are contracted to do the same for the Partisols, TEOMs and Airpointers, except the callout is on a five-day basis.

The PAH monitors are visited by Environmental Protection Team staff on a fortnightly basis, following the instructions as set out by AEA. The heavy metals Partisols will be visited on a weekly basis, with head cleaning done on a four weekly basis, following the instructions as set out by NPL. Initial site visits for breakdowns at any of these sites are also done.

#### Part 4: Nitrogen Dioxide

The diffusion tubes are analysed by South Yorkshire Laboratories, the method used is 50% TEA with 50% acetone.

The Review and Assessment Helpdesk has issued a FAQ relating to diffusion tube precision. In this document, the laboratories used for  $NO_2$  diffusion tubes were given graded for their precision, either good or poor, based on several studies. Precision is how well a particular concentration can be reproduced. In the case of diffusion tubes the known standard is taken to be an automatic monitoring station.

Rotherham MBC / South Yorks Lab have achieved good precision for all but one of the six samples in 2006. In 2007 two of the six samples were considered to be good precision and two of poor precision. This performance is reasonable compared to the other laboratories available.

Rotherham MBC / South Yorks											
2006	G										
2006	G										
2006	G										
2006	G										
2006	G										
2006	Р										
2007	G										
2007	Р										
2007	Р										
2007	G										
2007	G										
2007	G										

Figure A2: The precision of SY Labs NO<sub>2</sub> diffusion tubes. <sup>19</sup>

Bias correction takes account of the accuracy of tubes: they are cheap and easy to deploy, but are not as accurate as automatic machines. In addition, results can vary upon several factors including tube preparation, laboratory used, analysis method and type of substrate. Accuracy is how well a tube performs compared to a known standard, in this case an automatic monitor is taken to be the known, accurate standard.

A provisional bias correction factor has been calculated for Scunthorpe Town, Gallagher Retail Park and Kingsway House, see Figures A5 to A7. In the 2007 Detailed Assessment the bias correction factor used for 2006 data was based on provisional data from the automatic monitoring station, this has been re-calculated with a fully ratified data set and is shown in Figure A4. A re-calculation of the 2005 bias correction factor has also been done, see Figure A3.

The national bias correction factor has been downloaded using the latest available (March 2008) diffusion tube survey. <sup>20</sup>



Figure A3: The bias sheet for the co-location study at Scunthorpe Town in 2005.



Figure A4: The bias sheet for the co-location study at Scunthorpe Town, using 2006 ratified data.



												gioup
			Diff	usion Tu	ubes Mea	surements					Automa	tic Method
Period	Start Date dd/mm/yyyy	End Date dd/mm/yyyy	<b>Tube 1</b> μgm <sup>-3</sup>	<b>Tube 2</b> μgm <sup>-3</sup>	<b>Tube 3</b> μgm <sup>- 3</sup>	Triplicate Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation (CV)	95% CI of mean		Period Mean	Data Capture (% DC)
1	03/01/2007	30/01/2007	56	32	24	37	16.7		41.4		21.76667	100
2	30/01/2007	28/02/2007	42	39	34	38	4.0	11	10.0		34.39598	100
3	28/02/2007	04/04/2007	43	41	34	39	4.7	12	11.7		23.15679	100
4	04/04/2007	02/05/2007	47	31	35	38	8.3		20.7		21.34747	100
5	02/05/2007	30/05/2007	26	30	20	25	5.0		12.5		17	99.10714286
6	30/05/2007	04/07/2007	30	32	29	30	1.5	5	3.8		27	99.28571429
7	04/07/2007	31/07/2007	28	25	25	26	1.7	7	4.3		22	99.84567901
8	31/07/2007	29/08/2007	29	26	25	27	2.1	8	5.2		34	100
9	29/08/2007	03/10/2007	28	34	28	30	3.5	12	8.6		39	100
10	03/10/2007	31/10/2007	55	43	42	47	7.2	16	18.0		37	98.80952381
11	31/10/2007	29/11/2007	38	40	33	37	3.6	10	9.0		46.67309	99.85632184
12	29/11/2007	03/01/2008	46	45	40	44	3.2	7	8.0		54.18304	96.19047619
13												
										•	Overa	ll survey

#### Site Name/ ID:

Accuracy (with	th 95% confidence interval)										
without periods with	CV larger than 20%										
Bias calculated using 9 periods of data											
Bias factor	A 1 (0.81 - 1.31)										
Bias	B <u>0% (-24% - 24%)</u>										
Diffusion Tubes Mean	n: 35 μgm <sup>-3</sup>										
Mean CV (Precision	):10										
Automatic Mean	n: 35 μgm <sup>-3</sup>										
Data Capture for per	riods used: 99%										
Adjusted Tubes Mear	n: 35 (29 - 46) µgm <sup>-3</sup>										

Kingsway



Data Quality Check

Automatic

Monitor

Data

Good

Tubes

Precision

Check

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Good

Figure A6: The bias sheet for the co-location study at Kingsway House for 2007.

Ch	Checking Precision and Accuracy of Triplicate Tubes													
	Diffusion Tubes Measurements											ic Method	Data Quali	ty Check
Period	Start Date dd/mm/yyyy	End Date dd/mm/yyyy	Tube 1 μgm <sup>-3</sup>	<b>Tube 2</b> μgm <sup>-3</sup>	Tube 3 μgm <sup>- 3</sup>	Triplicate Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation (CV)	95% CI of mean	Pe M	eriod Iean	Data Capture (% DC)	Tubes Precision Check	Automatic Monitor Data
1	03/01/2007	30/01/2007	35	36	40	37	2.6	7	6.6	21.	54059	100	Good	Good
2	30/01/2007	28/02/2007	27	40	42	36	8.1	22	20.2	27.	.81991	97.4137931	Poor Precision	Good
3	28/02/2007	04/04/2007	28	33	33	31	2.9	9	7.2	21.	13405	100	Good	Good
4	04/04/2007	02/05/2007	29	25	28	27	2.1	8	5.2	16.	99656	99.4047619	Good	Good
5	02/05/2007	30/05/2007		11							22	99.4047619		Good
6	30/05/2007	04/07/2007	23	24	23	23	0.6	2	1.4		21	99.64285714	Good	Good
7	04/07/2007	31/07/2007									24	100		Good
8	31/07/2007	29/08/2007									23	99.85632184		Good
9	29/08/2007	03/10/2007	27	24	24	25	1.7	7	4.3		26	100	Good	Good
10	03/10/2007	31/10/2007	35	34	34	34	0.6	2	1.4		31	99.4047619	Good	Good
11	31/10/2007	29/11/2007	37	37	35	36	1.2	3	2.9	34.	.26547	99.85632184	Good	Good
12	29/11/2007	03/01/2008	37	32	36	35	2.6	8	6.6	27.	.68071	73.45238095	Good	or Data Capture
13														
lt is r	ecessary to have	e results for at lea	ast two tub	es in order	to calculate	the precision	of the measure	ments			Overal	ll survey>	Good precision	Good Overall DC
Sit	e Name/ ID:	Gall	lagher Re	etail Park	(		Precision 8 out of 9 periods have a CV smaller than 20					n 20%	(Check average	CV & DC from
	Accuracy	(with	95% cor	nfidence	interval)		Accuracy	(with	95% con	fidence inte	erval)		Accuracy ca	culations)
	without per	riods with C	/ larger t	han 20%	intervalj		WITH ALL				orvary	50%		
	Bias calcula	ted using 7 r	neriods (	of data			Bias calcul	lated using 8	neriods o	f data		<u>е</u>		
	Bius culculu	Rias factor A	0.8	(0.66 - 1	03)		Dias calca	Bias factor A	0.8/					
		Pige P	25%	(-20/	53%)			Bias Idettol A	25%	(2% _ /0%		<b>e</b> 0%		1
			2070	-3	<b>55</b> 70)				2070	0)	n Tu	Without CV>20%	With all data	
	Diffusion I	ubes Mean:	31	μgm			Diffusion	Tubes Mean:	31	μgm		·		
	Mean CV	(Precision):	5				Mean CV (Precision): 8							
	Auto	matic Mean:	25	µgm <sup>-3</sup>			Auto	omatic Mean:	25	µgm⁻³		<b>ц</b> _50%		
	Data Cap	oture for peric	ods used:	100%			Data Capture for periods used: 99% Jaume Tar						aume Targa	
Adjusted Tubes Mean: 25 (20 - 32) µgm <sup>-3</sup>							Adjusted Tubes Mean: 25 (21 - 31) µgm <sup>-3</sup> jaume.targa@aeat.co.ul						Daeat.co.uk	

Figure A7: The bias sheet for the co-location study at Gallagher Retail Park 2007.